

## TransAlta Corporation

### First Quarter 2019 Results Conference Call

Event Date/Time: May 14, 2019 — 11:00 a.m. E.T.

Length: 50 minutes

"While Cision has used commercially reasonable efforts to produce this transcript, it does not represent or warrant that this transcript is error-free. Cision will not be responsible for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential, loss of profits or other damages or liabilities which may arise out of or result from any use made of this transcript or any error contained therein."

« Bien que Cision ait fait des efforts commercialement raisonnables afin de produire cette transcription, la société ne peut affirmer ou garantir qu'elle ne contient aucune erreur. Cision ne peut être tenue responsable pour toute perte de profits ou autres dommages ou responsabilité causé par ou découlant directement, indirectement, accessoirement ou spécialement de toute erreur liée à l'utilisation de ce texte ou à toute erreur qu'il contiendrait. »

## **CORPORATE PARTICIPANTS**

### **Sally Taylor**

*TransAlta Corporation — Manager, Investor Relations*

### **Dawn Farrell**

*TransAlta Corporation — President and Chief Executive Officer*

### **Christophe Dehout**

*TransAlta Corporation — Chief Financial Officer*

### **John Kousinioris**

*TransAlta Corporation — Chief Growth Officer*

### **Brett Gellner**

*TransAlta Corporation — Chief Strategy and Investment Officer*

## **CONFERENCE CALL PARTICIPANTS**

### **Mark Jarvi**

*CIBC Capital Markets — Analyst*

### **Charles Fishman**

*Morningstar Research — Analyst*

### **Maurice Choy**

*RBC Capital Markets — Analyst*

### **John Mould**

*TD Securities — Analyst*

### **Chris Varcoe**

*The Calgary Herald — Analyst*

## PRESENTATION

### Operator

Good morning. My name is Chantal, and I will be your conference Operator today. At this time, I would like to welcome everyone to the TransAlta Corporation First Quarter 2019 Results Conference Call. All lines have been placed on mute to prevent any background noise.

After the speakers' remarks, there will be a question-and-answer session. If you would like to ask a question during this time, simply press \*, then number 1 on your telephone keypad. If you would like to withdraw your question, press the # key. Thank you.

Sally Taylor, Manager, Investor Relations, you may begin your conference.

### **Sally Taylor** — Manager, Investor Relations, TransAlta Corporation

Thank you, Chantal. Good morning, everyone, and welcome to TransAlta's first quarter 2019 conference call. With me today are Dawn Farrell, President and Chief Executive Officer; Christophe Dehout, Chief Financial Officer; John Kousinioris, Chief Growth Officer; and Brett Gellner, Chief Strategy and Investment Officer.

Today's call is webcast, and I invite those listening on the phone lines to view the supporting slides, which are available on our website. A replay of the call will be available later today, and a transcript will be posted to our website shortly thereafter.

As usual, all information provided during this conference call is subject to the forward-looking statement qualifications set out on Slide 2, detailed in our MD&A, and incorporated in full for the purposes of today's call.

All amounts referenced during the call are in Canadian currency, unless otherwise stated.

The non-IFRS terminology used, including gross margin, comparable EBITDA, funds from operations, and free cash flow are reconciled in the MD&A for your reference.

On today's call, Dawn and Christophe will review the quarterly results and expectations for the remainder of the year. After these prepared remarks, we will open the call for questions.

With that, let me turn the call over to Dawn.

**Dawn Farrell** — President and Chief Executive Officer, TransAlta Corporation

Thanks, Sally, and welcome, everyone. Today, as Sally said, I'll start with some colour on how I saw the quarter, and I'll also talk about our growth portfolio and what we're seeing on the horizon. After Christophe takes you through the financials, I will have just a few brief comments on the execution of our strategy.

On the slide that's on the screen now, you can see that we delivered strong results in line with or better than last year. After adjusting for the onetime positive cash flow in 2018, our year-over-year funds from operations increased by 5 percent and our free cash flow increased by 17 percent.

Now for those of you that follow us, you recall that last year during the first quarter we received \$150 million in cash for the early termination of the Sundance PPAs, which has been excluded from these numbers so that you can get a good comparison of how we're operating.

These improved financial results, year over year, are primarily due to strong performance from our Energy Marketing and Hydro segments, which more than offset a onetime event in US Coal and the expected lower EBITDA from our Canadian Gas segment.

During February and early March, we had extreme cold temperatures here in Alberta, which strengthened power prices for the quarter and benefitted our portfolio in the province.

Our Hydro segment, which is predominantly in Alberta, generated \$27 million in EBITDA this quarter, an increase of 59 percent compared to the first quarter of last year, but still less than half of what our Hydro segment would have made without the PPA in place. Christophe will go through this in more detail in his section.

Our US Coal team experienced what we call a tail event, which resulted in EBITDA being down 35 million compared to the first quarter of 2019 (sic) [2018], when extreme market conditions caused us to change our hedging strategy during a fourth boiler outage.

The good news is that our Energy Marketing team also experienced a positive tail event and were able to offset most of this loss through trades around their transmission positions that benefitted from the same extreme conditions.

A combination of high demand due to cold weather and very high gas prices due to pipeline constraints created extreme power pricing in the day-ahead market. Hedges in the Pacific Northwest market are settled against the pricing in the day-ahead market. So even though the unit was able to return to service in record time, production from the plant could not be used to fulfill those hedges.

Unfortunately, once the plant was up and running, the extreme conditions passed and we could only collect revenue in the spot market, which was much lower than the day-ahead market. We've frankly never seen such a mismatch between the day-ahead and real-time markets in the Pacific Northwest, and we don't expect this kind of event to persist on an ongoing basis.

The Canadian Coal segment once again had improved availability of 91.3 percent during the quarter compared to 90.5 percent in the quarter of last year. Cost reductions, as a result of mothballing Sundance Units 3 and 5, as well as the benefit of co-firing with natural gas, resulted in the EBITDA from

Canadian Coal remaining consistent with the first quarter of last year, when all four Sundance units were running under their PPAs.

This is quite a remarkable achievement and shows that the market in Alberta will compensate for capacity when the market is tight. It also shows that the team up at Alberta Coal has done a tremendous job when it comes to costs and availability.

In summary, we're ending the quarter with strong results from our existing operations, and we are well positioned across the fleet to deliver free cash flow at the high end of our previous guidance of 270 million to 330 million.

Turning to Slide 5. Today we announced the Skookumchuck project, which is a construction-ready wind facility near our Centralia plant. In April, we signed an agreement to acquire a 49 percent interest in the 136.8-megawatt project at COD, which is expected in December of this year. Our investment will be approximately 155 million Canadian.

Skookumchuck and Windrise are currently being funded by TransAlta. Both projects are underpinned by 20-year PPAs with strong counterparties, and therefore, are excellent future candidates for TransAlta Renewables.

As I discussed during our fourth quarter call, by investing moderate development dollars in greenfield and brownfield projects in TransAlta and then taking advantage of the lower cost of capital in TransAlta Renewables, we can finance growth in TransAlta Renewables to the benefit of both sets of shareholders.

The top two projects on this slide, Big Level and Antrim, were great wins for TransAlta Renewables last year, and both projects will be funded directly by TransAlta Renewables. Construction is advancing well, and we expect both wind projects to reach commercial operations later in 2019.

Turning to Slide 6. On a consolidated basis, you can see how this growth will lift our future EBITDA. As you can see from this chart, we expect to see the benefits of Big Level and Antrim later this year. And next year, we will start to see the benefit from some of the recently announced growth projects, including the Pioneer Pipeline, which will also drive growth in EBITDA in the near term.

By 2022, we expect to have more than 60 million of EBITDA added to our run rate. This year, we are investing over 400 million in growing the business through new development projects. Over the next three years, we will commission these five projects, which have a total capital investment of approximately 850 million.

Excluding the gas pipeline investment of approximately 100 million, we will invest 750 million in our four wind projects, with high single-digit returns to investors. Approximately half of the investment will be funded with tax equity and project debt. As I said earlier, these kinds of projects fit well in the TransAlta Renewables portfolio, where investors want long-term, stable contracted cash flows to support a high dividend payout ratio.

With that, let me turn the call over to Christophe to provide more details on the financial results for the quarter.

**Christophe Dehout** — Chief Financial Officer, TransAlta Corporation

Thank you, Dawn, and welcome to everyone on the call.

Turning to Slide 7. As Dawn noted at the beginning of her discussion, our results in the first quarter were strong, with funds from operations and free cash flow both higher than last year, after adjusting for the early termination payments of the Sundance B and C PPAs received in the Q1 of 2018.

With the same adjustments, comparable EBITDA for the quarter decreased 15 million compared to last year. Although Alberta operations benefitted from higher prices in the quarter and Energy

Marketing showed better results than last year, EBITDA was negatively impacted by lower results in our US Coal operations, due to the onetime event described by Dawn; by the expected expiry of the contract at Mississauga on December 31, 2018; and lower scheduled payments from the Poplar Creek finance lease in our Canadian Gas unit.

Moving to Slide 8. As you can see from the chart on the bottom of this slide, segmented cash flows from our power-generating assets totalled 186 million during the first quarter, a decrease of 12 million or 6 percent year over year, after correcting for the one-off 157 million payment in 2018.

Cash flow from the Coal segment was down 40 million, primarily due to the one-off event at Centralia. At Canadian Coal, the positive impact of stronger power prices in Alberta, the benefits of co-firing, and lower OM&A costs were mostly offset by increased environmental compliance costs during the quarter and the loss of PPA revenues.

In our US Coal segment, the reduction in the cash flow was due to the one-off, onetime event in early March of 2019, when one of the units at Centralia had an unplanned outage, as described also by Dawn. Most of this reduction was recouped through our Energy Marketing segment, which benefitted from the market volatility.

As expected, in our Canadian Gas segment, the expiration of the contract at Mississauga and the reduced revenue from Poplar Creek led to lower cash flow compared to last year. These reductions were more than offset by reductions in corporate costs as a result of our Greenlight initiatives, as well as the realized upside in Alberta pricing in our Hydro segment, which I discussed earlier.

As you can see on Slide 9, we had strong power prices in Alberta, which benefitted our Canadian Coal and Hydro segments, as well as the Alberta wind assets. Average power prices for the first quarter of 2019 almost doubled year over year, at \$69 per megawatt hour compared to \$35 for the same period in

2018. The increase was primarily due to weather-driven demand in February and early March, resulting from the significantly below-normal temperatures throughout the province.

Lower volumes of power imports into Alberta were also observed due to strong power prices in the Pacific Northwest, stemming from below-normal weather in that region. While we are observing relatively modest spot power prices in the second quarter, this is not uncommon, given the weaker seasonal demand in April and May. We expect demand to increase as we move into the summer.

The forward prices for Q3 and Q4 are stronger than Q2, and are being supported by our prices in California and the Pacific Northwest. We're also seeing very low natural gas prices here in Alberta, which is favourable for co-firing capabilities. I would also note that uncertainty about what changes will be enacted by the UCP with respect to current pricing is being reflected in the forward curve of oil prices, which may explain why the 2020 prices are trading at 50, \$51 per megawatt hour, when the balance of 2019 is averaging at 53.

On Slide 10, the slide you're all becoming actually familiar with, as we presented the same during our year-end results, we're showing the upside of the Hydro assets once they come off the PPA. During the first quarter of 2019, our Hydro assets generating 27 million in EBITDA; however, they would have generated 67 million if the current PPA did not exist, assuming the capacity market was up and running and delivered similar capacity revenues.

I'm going to quickly walk you through this chart. We generated 58 million by selling energy and ancillary services revenues. Those PPAs will continue to sell these services at market prices.

We also received 14 million of capacity payments under the existing PPA, which will go away once the PPA expires, but will be replaced by revenues under the capacity market in late 2021, all through

energy prices in the event the capacity market is not adopted. We also generated 5 million in other revenues through backstop, water management, and transmission.

If we subtract our cost of 10 million during the first quarter, we get the 67 million of EBITDA that would have been generated if the PPA did not exist and we were in the capacity market. Under the PPA, however, rebates to the balance sheet fell in the first quarter of 2019 a net amount of 40 million for energy and ancillary obligations, net of some costs. This amount goes away once the PPA expires. So as you can see, there is significant upside from our Hydro assets in the future.

Before I turn it over to Dawn, I will touch on our capital allocation. As we look forward over the next three years, we'll continue to focus on some key areas: debt reduction, investing in coal-to-gas conversions, growth, and returning cash to our shareholders through our announced share buyback. This quarter we committed to return capital to shareholders through a share buyback program. We will invest up to 250 million over the next three years and own shares through this program.

On the balance sheet front, we intend to repay the 400 million bonds maturing late 2020 with the strong excess cash flow generated by the business, further strengthening our balance sheet. We remain committed to reducing our record debt to 1.2 billion by the end of 2020, coming from 3.4 billion in 2015. Further debt reduction occurs at TransAlta and TransAlta Renewables through mandatory principal payments associated with the amortizing debt.

With that, I will now pass the call back to Dawn.

### **Dawn Farrell**

Thanks, Christophe. As many of you know that follow us, our goal is to deliver 100 percent clean power by 2025, a tough, yet achievable objective that requires a fundamental transformation of our company. To further extend our strategy, in March of—in March, we increased our financial capability

through an innovative financing and cornerstone shareholding arrangement with Brookfield Renewables. We now have all the tools in the toolbox that we need to complete our transformation.

As we look ahead, our focus is squarely on the execution of our strategy. We are now ready to move forward with significant investments of approximately 200 million into our coal-to-gas conversions. Our first conversion outage will be in late 2020 at one of our Alberta units. We'll announce our schedule of outages, plant by plant, for the post-2020 time frame at an investor day event that we are planning to hold in September in Toronto.

We have also determined that under certain market conditions, an investment in a hybrid generator is compelling. We'll complete that work and update you on this front at that same investor day. We now have the cash to complete our conversions on an accelerated schedule, which will increase returns for shareholders in the 2020 to 2025 time frame.

Turning to our Hydro assets. The combined TransAlta-Brookfield operating committee, created by our strategic partnership, will be focused on optimizing and maximizing the value of the Hydro assets now and into the post—our PPA future. Our job now is to ensure that we will grow the EBITDA based on the post-PPA market, where capacity will be valued separately from energy. The higher the EBITDA, the greater the value to our shareholders.

And if we look into the future, we see competitive costs for Renewables, which are the generation of choice for most of our large customers. Growing TransAlta Renewables means matching customer contracts with projects. In addition to what we're currently building, we also see the potential for a number of co-generation wind and solar projects. These projects can be candidates to be dropped down into TransAlta Renewables, which benefits from the lower cost of capital and is well positioned for growth.

So with that, I'll turn the call back over to Sally.

**Sally Taylor**

Thank you, Dawn. Chantal, could you please open up the call for questions from the analysts and media?

---

**Q&A**

**Operator**

At this time, I would like to remind everyone, in order to ask a question, press \*, then number 1 on your telephone keypad. We'll pause for just a moment to compile the Q&A roster.

Your first question comes from Mark Jarvi with CIBC Capital Markets. Your line is open.

**Mark Jarvi — CIBC Capital Markets**

Hi. Good morning, everyone.

**Dawn Farrell**

Hi. Good morning.

**Mark Jarvi**

Can you hear me?

**Dawn Farrell**

Yeah. Yeah—

**Mark Jarvi**

Yep. Gotcha. Okay.

**Dawn Farrell**

—good morning.

**Mark Jarvi**

I just wanted to maybe start on the Coal segment, some improvements in OM&A costs there. It was the lowest we've seen in a number of quarters, with 10 million lower than for the trailing-four-quarter average. Maybe just tell us what drove that? And whether or not it's sustainable over the next few quarters here?

**Dawn Farrell**

Well, as we said to you when we repositioned units last year—so remember, we've got three coal units that are still on PPAs, and then we have two units operating—it's at our merchant. And the units that are operating at our merchant are dispatched—sometimes they're—they're operating and sometimes they're off, because the market conditions are low. So we've been able to really adjust, through our transformation, all of our costs, fixed and variable and including costs at the mine, to be able to reflect an operation that has three baseload plants and two merchant plants.

So that continues as long as those are the number of units that operate. And then as we develop our plans here to switch to gas, as the mine comes off and gas comes on, and there's more co-firing, that allows us to take more costs out, both in the cost of their—cost of goods sold, which is where the mining costs are, and in the OM&A. Once you get to a gas operation, it's a significantly different operation.

**Mark Jarvi**

So just to clarify, in terms of then, given where you are now and plans to operate over the next couple quarters, you should be able to maintain where you've got the cost profile down to?

**Dawn Farrell**

That's right.

**Mark Jarvi**

Yeah. Okay.

**Dawn Farrell**

That's right. That's right.

**Mark Jarvi**

And then going to the US wind project in Washington, maybe you can just kind of outline in terms of what is it that ultimately decides whether or not you guys confirm to buy that interest? And maybe give some colour in terms of the process to acquire that interest? And was it competitive? Or some sort of bilateral negotiation?

**John Kousinioris** — Chief Growth Officer, TransAlta Corporation

Yeah. Mark, it's John. It was something that, frankly, kind of fell into our lap in the sense of looking at some of the development that was going on in the region. I think just by virtue of the fact that we've got the facilities that we have in Centralia, with that footprint, the means to sort of have transmission for that farm going over the lands that we have from the mine that were there—just made sense that we would be a party to that transaction and resulted in us being given the opportunity to participate in—in what is really an excellent project with a really strong PPA.

We're also quite big on the area generally, given just the trading expertise that we have all along the West Coast and generally, it's an area that we're looking to have more growth. So we were happy with the returns. We were happy with our partners. And it was really the positioning that we had from our facilities, kind of in the central western part of the state that—that resulted in it being a natural place for us to participate in.

**Dawn Farrell**

Yeah. There was no—there was no competition for that interest. It was really because we had something that they needed—

**John Kousinioris**

That's right.

**Dawn Farrell**

—and they had something that we wanted. And if you look at the Pacific Northwest market, they're shutting down coal plants everywhere and not building gas and really committed to a future of renewables, so they're good investments.

**Mark Jarvi**

Okay. And then—and just the timing on when you actually make sort of the final investment decision, why not now and why later? Is it something to do with the PPA and just sort of...

**John Kousinioris**

No. The way that the acquisition's actually structured, they're going to proceed and actually begin construction shortly. The whole arrangement is that we just buy in. The agreement's been signed. We buy in at COD and fund it at COD, which we're expecting to be around December of this year—

**Dawn Farrell**

Yeah.

**John Kousinioris**

—later this year. It's just the way we structured the deal.

**Mark Jarvi**

Okay. And then switching to the Hydro, which had a really solid quarter, as you highlighted, so obviously strong pricing. And then on top of that realized the Hydro assets, and the Energy only realized a pretty strong premium to spot.

**Dawn Farrell**

Right.

**Mark Jarvi**

Maybe just kind of comment on what sort of drove that even improved premium? Whether or not like this level here is something we can expect going forward? Or if there is something a bit different in the setup with the—sort of, I guess, the higher power prices and maybe some weaker other spot generation?

**John Kousinioris**

Yeah. I don't—so Mark, again, it's John. I think that the performance that we had in the quarter was just really reflective of the circumstances that we saw in the market, with the extreme cold that we had in February and March. The prices were high. There was times when our hydro ran and was able to take advantage of both very strong energy pricing and also the ancillary services that we had. I don't know that I would be reading a lot more into that from kind of the steady performance that we're looking at for the Hydro, other than it's just—it was just sort of symptomatic of the environment that the fleet found itself in during operation—

**Dawn Farrell**

Yeah. Yeah. I mean think of the Hydro as a deck of cards with 52 cards in it, and you play your 52 cards. And you try to play your 52 cards at the highest price in the hour, throughout the year, because

you're rationed, effectively. There's only so much storage that you can play. So the team did an excellent job of playing their cards through the quarter with the water that they had—

**John Kousinioris**

That's right—

**Dawn Farrell**

—to maximize the value, and that's— we have a team of people that work on that.

**Mark Jarvi**

Okay. So if we think that maybe it was 30 percent premium to spot this quarter, and you look over the last couple years, it was generally sort of in the high single-digit to mid-teens. So we should kind of continue to assume something hinting of the sort of prior run rate of—

**Dawn Farrell**

I think it will—it will—

**Mark Jarvi**

—seven to eight percent?

**Dawn Farrell**

Yeah. I mean, I would—you're not going to be able to get that perfect.

**Mark Jarvi**

Yep. Yeah.

**Dawn Farrell**

Different quarters will have different attributes.

**Mark Jarvi**

Yep.

**Dawn Farrell**

I would say that in markets where there's really tight—I mean, remember, it was 30 below all of February. That's a one in—I've been forecasting in the province since 1985, and we've never had 30 below for the whole month of February, so. And so when you see those kinds of conditions, then I would expect a little higher premium. But if it's just your regular, run of the mill, pick off the tops, I think that 15 percent is a good number.

**John Kousinioris**

That's right.

**Mark Jarvi**

Okay. And maybe moving just into the sort of impact of the UCP, or switching government here, obviously, some unknowns around the capacity, where I'm sure you'll provide your views. And then is there anything for you guys to advocate for? What do you think in terms of the industry in terms of forming, ultimately, how the CCIR moves over to what they call the tier now? Is there—do you think that's largely established? Or is there a lot of room for discussion on, ultimately, sort of the nuances of that implementation?

**Dawn Farrell**

You know, I don't know. I mean I think the truth is they're just establishing the government; they'll set up their process. There'll be discussions. I would never speculate on what an outcome might be in a government process.

I do know that if you think about—if you look at energy-only markets worldwide, there aren't very many of them. And unless you get your capacity pricing correct, in terms of—remember the big mechanism used in an energy-only market to drive capacity pricing is the ability for price to run up in a

shortfall. And all energy markets cap that price; in Alberta, it's capped at \$1,000. In southern Australia, where they had an energy-only market, they had to move the cap to like 14,000 because they ran out of capacity by not having prices go up enough to respond to, sort of, conditions in real time.

The other issue is that you're trying to attract new investment. And a lot of—it's hard to get equity—or sorry. It's hard to get debt investment and lower the return or the cost of capital of your projects, if you are just relying on a spot market price for capacity pricing, which is why the capacity market is superior, because it should fundamentally drive lower cost of capital for consumers and better pricing and more long-term investment.

So those would be the kinds of comments that we'll make as we go into it. But there's—nothing has started yet, and until we get the process set up, there'll be lots of lobbying and lots of people talking, but it's got to be a very good decision-making process with good input and people that are gathering that input, and we're not even close to that yet. So we'll wait and see.

**Mark Jarvi**

Okay. I'll leave it there. Thank you—

**Dawn Farrell**

What I can say, Mark—

**Mark Jarvi**

Yeah?

**Dawn Farrell**

—is you got to have a capacity signal—you have to have a capacity signal in a functioning energy market, whether it's an energy-only market or a capacity market, and that's a fact.

**Mark Jarvi**

Okay. I'll leave it there. Thank you, guys.

**Dawn Farrell**

Thanks.

**Operator**

Again, if you would like to ask a question, press \*, then number 1 on your telephone keypad.

Your next question comes from Charles Fishman with Morningstar Research. Your line is open.

**Charles Fishman** — Morningstar Research

Hi. Thank you. Dawn, on Slide 11, you said evaluating hybrid options. I also realize you said you're not prepared to discuss what the conclusion of that is. But what do you mean by that? I mean, what are the potential options that you're looking at? Can you at least add colour on that?

**Dawn Farrell**

I meant—yeah. So Brett Gellner is working up all those options, so he'll explain what that hybrid is, and just what decision we'd like to bring to the investors by the time we get to September.

**Brett Gellner** — Chief Strategy and Investment Officer, TransAlta Corporation

Yeah. So for the most part, we've been talking about repowering being kind of simple boiler convergence, where you just switch out the existing burners and put in natural gas burners. Today, we can coal-fire those units up to a certain amount. But be able to get to 100 percent gas, we need to switch out all the burners. So that's a forward conversion, low cost, a very short duration. The thing is you don't really change the heat rate of that plant.

So the other option we've been exploring, which we introduced here, I think a couple calls ago, relates to installing new gas turbines on-site and HR6, where you capture the heat. And then we apply that steam—use that steam in the existing steam turbine of the coal unit and so basically, bypassing the

boiler. That is a more capital-intensive opportunity, but certainly a much lower heat rate, and the economics to date look very compelling.

We need to do more work on configurations, whether it's one 1GT or more, and which units we would tie into in terms of the steam turbine. We have visited sites of both nature, of the simple conversion and the repowering, and both are very good projects and have been very successful. And so that's the additional work we're doing.

**Charles Fishman**

Okay. Thanks.

**Dawn Farrell**

So does that clarify—

**Charles Fishman**

No. You've—

**Dawn Farrell**

Does that help you, Charles?

**Charles Fishman**

Absolutely.

**Dawn Farrell**

Okay. Good.

**Charles Fishman**

Absolutely, and you have mentioned it in the past. I guess I was just confused by the terminology, hybrid. But certainly the—

**Dawn Farrell**

Got it. Yeah.

**Charles Fishman**

—the conversion with the gas, the HR6 and doing a combined-cycle-type conversion you’ve—

**Dawn Farrell**

That’s—

**Charles Fishman**

—you’ve certainly discussed in the past. Thank you.

**Dawn Farrell**

Yeah. Just think of hybrid as a cheaper—

**Brett Gellner**

Yeah.

**Dawn Farrell**

—more cost-effective combined cycle where we get to reuse a lot of the equipment at the plants.

**Charles Fishman**

Got it. Thank you.

**Dawn Farrell**

Okay. Thank you.

**Operator**

Your next question comes from Maurice Choy with RBC Capital. Your line is open.

**Dawn Farrell**

Hello, Maurice. Are you there?

**Maurice Choy** — RBC Capital Markets

Yep. I'm here. Sorry. Good morning. Just wanted to discuss a little bit about Alberta electricity prices, and this relates mainly to Slide 9. I recall back in the Q4 results, you showed obviously beyond 2020; you've obviously used an external forecast by EDC, but you had total power prices of closer to 70, \$80. I wonder if there's anything in your—I guess past few months, that would point to a different conclusion—

**Dawn Farrell**

Yeah.

**Maurice Choy**

—from your perspective?

**Dawn Farrell**

Yeah. So let me just clarify. That slide had some pricing from an external service provider. And we stated at the time, and we should've put it on the chart, that probably—no one can read the future—but if you look at the past in Alberta, an average of about \$60 seems to show up in the markets over 15 years, over 10 years, over 5 years. There were two really low years where the market wasn't operating as a market, which if you take that out, really, the price should be in that \$60 range. So in terms of looking at the future, who knows? It depends on a lot of factors.

When we look at the forward curves today, this is what we've seen. In Alberta in the past, the forward curve tended to trade at a premium to the spot. Recently, in the last six months to nine months and including this last quarter, the spot trades to a premium to the forward curve, which would say that a lot of customers should be trying to buy that forward curve, but they're not, for whatever reason. And I think it's the uncertainty around carbon pricing and policy and all that sort of stuff, so people just sit on the sidelines.

But if you actually look at the last quarter—and now the old demand forecaster is coming out in me—and you look at sort of 30 degree cold weather in February where it's pretty light at the time when the peaks usually hit, so you don't really have even all the loads on, the market was very tight. So what it kind of indicates to you is the real market in real time tends to be in balance, and the forward market may or may not be reflecting the true value of the cash market.

So for your analysis, month by month, watch your spot market pricing against the forward market pricing that was in the market for the last couple months before the market settled, and it will start to tell you more about supply and demand in the marketplace.

So I would always run about \$60 in your models, despite what forecasts you see because that's a safe bet looking out in the future. And then there'll be times when demand and supply are in balance or tighter. And certainly, I think a quarter was \$69, which to me shows that there was more demand than there was supply in the first quarter of this year in the spot market.

Does that help?

**Maurice Choy**

And I suppose—yep. It does. I guess a follow-on to that, switching to that other part of that graph, which is obviously the capacity market, any comments or thoughts on any changes since the last update on that?

**Dawn Farrell**

On the capacity markets?

**Maurice Choy**

Correct.

**Dawn Farrell**

Yeah. I mean, from what I understand, the hearing is going well. I think all the kinds of things that you would expect to see in a capacity market hearing and all the kinds of issues are well underway. And I think it's going quite well. So I think the capacity market will be a very strong, viable option, and it's being heard by a very reputable regulator. And it's being recommended by a world-class reputable regulator on the ISO side.

So my hope is that there should be a lot of confidence in that process, given that I think we've got world-class institutions here in Alberta.

**Maurice Choy**

Perfect. Thank you very much.

**Dawn Farrell**

Thank you.

**Operator**

Your next question comes from John Mould with TD Securities. Your line is open.

**John Mould** — TD Securities

Good morning. Thanks for taking my questions. Just firstly on the Centralia outage, are there any takeaways going forward there from that outage during the mid-season spike in March in terms of how you approach your operations or hedging there? Or do you really view it as a very unlikely set of circumstances that came together there?

**Dawn Farrell**

Yeah. No, I did a lot of work on it myself, personally, to try to understand because there were such a—it was so interesting that here the traders had—they were jumping up and down for joy, and we looked over at the plant and it was like how could this be? And so we did a lot of work on it.

I concluded that at the end of the day, they had to make a decision as to whether or not they would settle the plant in the day-ahead market, and they had to make that decision on a Friday for a Sunday and a Monday. And the way the market was trading at that moment, with \$800 prices on the horizon, was that there was clearly a massive risk that if we nominated the plant and it didn't come back, so remember these are plants where you walk into them and you see is there one boiler tube that needs to be fixed, or is there four? And that's the difference between 24 hours and 48 hours, right, for your outage.

So they had to take a risk of whether or not they should nominate the plant to run. And in those circumstances, at that moment, there was no question that if they had taken the risk for the plant to run, and it didn't run, that the consequences would have been horrendous because we wouldn't have been able to supply the hedges, and we would have breached our contracts.

And we're a strong, ethical company, and we don't breach contracts. So I think they made the exact right decision. It was bad luck, I guess, in a way that by the time they got to sell the plant in real time, because it came back, prices had dropped. And so, I mean, it's the first time we've seen in a long time where that—I don't even think we'd ever seen a situation where the real-time and the day-ahead market traded away from one another. So—

**John Kousinioris**

Yeah. But usually, they're usually within about—

**Dawn Farrell**

Yeah.

**John Kousinioris**

—10% of each other—

**Dawn Farrell**

Yeah. So—

**John Kousinioris**

—is what we typically see.

**Dawn Farrell**

So what I was ... what I took away from it is it is an unusual set of circumstances, and it's also an unusual set of circumstances on the Energy Marketing side. So the fact that they made a whole bunch of money in that event, the good news is we have a diversified portfolio and we had those transmission assets to trade around, which helped to offset some of the pain at the plant. But you shouldn't look at the onetime in Energy Marketing as being permanent, and you shouldn't look at the onetime loss at Centralia as being permanent.

**John Mould**

Okay. Great. Appreciate the colour there. And then, Dawn, in your earlier comments about the market structure in Alberta, you referenced the need for a capacity signal, whether that's in a capacity market or an energy-only market. If the government doesn't proceed with the capacity market in the end, what kind of specific changes do you think, if any, are needed to the current energy-only market structure to ensure that capacity signal is there?

**Dawn Farrell**

Yeah. I mean, if I was the ISO and I was in charge of that and I had to guarantee reliability to Albertans as part of my mandate because I'm legislated to do that, I'd have to take a very, very close look at whether or not I would want to reinstate some PPAs, or I'd want to change the pricing signals to reflect what is known globally is the—is the cost—it's really the way you do that cap is you say what is the

opportunity loss to a load not being able to be supplied? And that loss in markets like Alberta is somewhere between 10,000 and \$20,000 a megawatt hour. You have to do that kind of work if you're the guy who's in charge of reliability.

It's really up to them. Currently, the energy-only market for the incumbents, \$69 in the quarter is fine. I can live with that. The real question is, will \$69 in the quarter here and there, and then a quarter that's maybe \$40, and then is followed by a quarter that's \$75, will that incent new people to show up with plants? And that's what the ISO will have to get under to really think about because it's not so much about us, the incumbents in the market; it's really about how do you attract new supply?

**John Mould**

Right. Right. Okay. That makes sense. And then maybe just a couple questions on the conversion. So just as far as the Sun 6 timing, does that outage effectively run from the end of 2019 through the end of the conversion process, absent other notice to proceed announcements leaving you with the one operating unit at Sundance through that period?

**Brett Gellner**

No. It's Brett. So as we've indicated in the past, those conversions are about 60 days in length. And so right now, it's just planning and ordering equipment that we're going through. And that's just has a long lead time associated with it. The actual outage itself, you're only taking a unit out for that kind of 60-day period. And there'll be some start-up commissioning that goes on after that, but generally, that's the timing.

So you're really not—and then obviously we'll stage them in over time and not do them all at once, clearly.

**John Mould**

Okay. That's what I thought. Thanks for the clarification. And then just on the repowering, I recognize you probably don't want to steal your thunder for your Investor Day later this year. But can you talk maybe a little bit, just about the kinds of market conditions that you're looking for to make that investment in the hybrid conversion a more attractive option for the Company?

**Brett Gellner**

Well, again, a big part of it is always the capital costs. So the work we've done to date, as always is ... it's not a complete detailed capital cost estimate. So we're working with capital costs that have a range to it. So I would say that is always the biggest variable. The heat rate is pretty known. I would say the other element is just as you're tying these units into existing systems, clearly, you got to really go through and make sure that you've captured all the right bits of work that are required, and each unit's going to be different from that perspective.

So I—but again, back to that price that Dawn mentioned, that \$60, which is really an all-in price, whether it's an energy-only or a combined-energy capacity price, makes these very compelling because the capital cost is a lot less than a brand-new combined cycle, as you would expect.

And so really, the only other piece of decision—or big decision and work we're doing is do we do what we refer to as a one-on-one-on-one, which is one gas turbine, one HR6, and then tie into one steam turbine, or a two-by-two-on-one, so two gas turbines, two HR6s, into one steam turbine. And clearly, with two gas turbines you get more steam generated and therefore more—you're utilizing that existing steam turbine more.

**Dawn Farrell**

Yeah. So just one other thing. I mean, clearly, if you look at the economics of a hybrid, it's more capital. And as you saw with our financing with Brookfield, I mean, frankly what we were doing was giving

ourselves the financial flexibility to be able to make these kinds of decisions, so that's really positive for us.

But it's more capital and typically when you're going to spend more capital, you want a longer runway to recover that capital and make a return on it. A piece of policy work that we'll be doing with the new government will be around some sort of a policy around gas—the use of gas for generation. We're a company that woke up one day and found out we couldn't run our coal plants past 2030.

And if we're going to put significant investment into a hybrid or a combined-cycle plant, we really need to know that this province will proactively support gas, for generation, over the next 25 to 30 years. And I'll be working with the premier to ask him for a proactive policy that supports generators to make those investments, with an obligation by the province to assure us that if they decide—if some future government decides to change their minds, that there's recovery of our foregone profit. So those are considerations that have to be made in a world where greenhouse gases have such a high profile. So that's another piece of work that we'll be doing.

### **Brett Gellner**

And the only other last bit, which also ties into the life. Remember on the simple boiler conversions, federally we have a finite life to those, depending on the emissions of each unit. For our units, most of them are, we expect, eight years beyond what they could have run under coal, and some of them will be 10 years.

Whereas the hybrid repowering, other than what Dawn just mentioned, there is real no—there is no policy limiting you, other than the technical aspect of the plant because it'll be a very efficient plant, very similar to a brand-new combined-cycle. So there's that added element to it.

### **John Mould**

Right. Okay. Thanks for all the colour. Much appreciated. I'll leave it there.

**Dawn Farrell**

Okay. Thanks, John.

**Operator**

Your next question comes from Chris Varcoe with The Calgary Herald. Your line is open.

**Chris Varcoe** — The Calgary Herald

Hi, Dawn. Just to follow up on those questions on the gas-to-coal conversion plans, is there anything that would change your timing? Or your intention of the strategy? And I'm thinking specifically here on whether the government reversed its decision on the capacity market? Or on any of the future carbon price changes that they're talking about introducing?

**Brett Gellner**

Hi. It's Brett, Chris. So, no, we—and we laid this out, again, I think back in February. There are a number of factors that are going into our decision to convert. The carbon pricing is one element of it. And as Dawn says, as long as the—if they do stick with an energy-only, and the price signals are appropriate, then that's not an issue for us.

And really, there's a lot of benefits for converting. The NOx, SOx go way down; we get the extra lives out of it. As Dawn mentioned, our maintenance capital goes significantly lower, as does our OM&A. So there's a whole bunch of benefits from converting. And so we're well down the path of—and not changing on that. The timing, we'll be more staging and making sure that we're managing that properly, but it's still over the time frame that we talked about.

**Dawn Farrell**

Yeah. Chris, just to add, I think the challenge you have with trying to have a foot in both camps is you end up with having to pay for an expensive mine and expensive coal-handling equipment, at the same time that you're paying for a gas pipeline and gas. And effectively, you make yourself quite uncompetitive. So you really got to jump from—you've really got to stay in one camp or jump to the other. And we made the decision in February, and we were very clear with investors that we're taking both of our feet, and we're planting them firmly in the camp of converting to gas.

**Chris Varcoe**

Thanks. Just on a separate question, the new government has said that they're going to ask the Auditor General to look at doing an audit on the losses within the PPAs held through the balancing pool. I'm just wondering, what are your thoughts on that? And do you think it's necessary? And if so, are there any questions that you think need to be determined by any audit of those losses?

**Dawn Farrell**

Well, I think that a new government can do whatever it wants. They're in charge, and I would have no opinion on that. I'd—it's not something that I've even focused on or looked at. You're the first person to tell me that, is another way to say it, Chris. Thanks for the information. I'm going to go away and think about it.

**Chris Varcoe**

All right. But do you don't have the—you don't believe that there's any questions that TransAlta would want to see answered as a result of an audit that is being done by the Auditor General or by the government itself into those PPA losses through the balancing pool?

**Dawn Farrell**

No. I—you know what? This company needs to look ahead. We've got a big strategy to execute. It's super exciting. We're spending some great money on some renewables. We're converting our plants to gas. I'm looking at this hybrid. I am focused on the future here, not the past.

**Chris Varcoe**

Thank you.

**Dawn Farrell**

Thanks, Chris.

**Operator**

There are no further questions at this time. I will turn the call back over to Sally Taylor.

**Sally Taylor**

Thanks, Chantal. Thank you, everyone. That concludes our call for today. Please don't hesitate to reach out to myself or Alex if you have any other questions or contact us through the Investor Relations email. Thank you.

**Operator**

This concludes today's conference call. You may now disconnect.